

ON THE FIBER CONES OF GOOD FILTRATIONS

DUONG QUOC VIET

Department of Mathematics, Hanoi University of Education

136-Xuan Thuy Street, Hanoi, Vietnam

E-mail: duongquocviet@ fmail.vnn.vn

ABSTRACT : Let A be a Noetherian local ring with the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ and an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal J . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals in A . Denote by $F_J(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I_n/JI_n)t^n$ the fiber cone of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to J . The paper characterizes the multiplicity and the Cohen-Macaulayness of $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ in terms of minimal reductions of $\mathcal{F}$.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, infinite residue field $k = A/\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration of ideals in A . Let J be an arbitrary $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal. Define $F_J(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I_n/JI_n)t^n$ to be the *fiber cone* of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to J . In the case where $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an I -adic filtration, $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is called the *fiber cone* of I with respect to J and it is denoted by $F_J(I)$. The notations $\ell(I) = \dim F_{\mathfrak{m}}(I)$ and $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \dim F_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{F})$ will mean the *analytic spread* of I and of $\mathcal{F}$, respectively.

The multiplicity and the Cohen-Macaulayness of fiber cones are usually interesting problems. These problems are concerned by many authors in the past years. Using different approaches, the authors investigated the Cohen-Macaulayness and other properties of fiber cones $F_{\mathfrak{m}}(I)$ and $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ (see for instance [C-G-P-U], [C-P-V], [C-Z], [Co-Z], [D-R-V], [H-H], [J-V1], [J-P-V], [C], [Vi2]). Using weak-(FC)-sequences of ideals in local rings, the author of [Vi2] characterized the multiplicity and the Cohen-Macaulayness of $F_{\mathfrak{m}}(I)$ in terms of minimal reductions of ideals. The results of [Vi2] recovered some earlier results of Huneke-Sally [H-Sa], Shah [Sh1, Sh2] and Cortadellas-Zarzuela [C-Z].

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): Primary 13H10. Secondary 13A15, 13A30, 13C14, 13H15.

Key words and phrases : Cohen-Macaulay ring, Multiplicity, Fiber cone, Filtration, weak-(FC)-sequence.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

In terms of minimal reductions of filtrations, the aim of this paper is to give characterizations of the multiplicity (Theorem 3.3, Section 3) and the Cohen-Macaulayness (Theorem 4.2, Section 4) of the fiber cone $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ of a good filtration $\mathcal{F}$. A crucial role in this paper is played by the use of weak-(FC)-sequences of good filtrations (see Section 2). The results of this paper prove that the main results of [Vi2] are still true for fiber cones of good filtrations. Moreover, from the main result we show that: For any good filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, $\bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I_{Ln}/\mathfrak{m}I_{Ln})t^n$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all large L (Corollary 4.3, Section 4); and we obtain more favorite results than the results in [Vi2] (Remark 4.4).

This paper is divided into four sections. Sect.2 deals with weak-(FC)-sequences of good filtrations. Sect.3 investigates the multiplicity of fiber cones of good filtrations. Sect.4 is devoted to the discussion of the Cohen-Macaulayness of fiber cones of good filtrations.

2. THE WEAK-(FC)-SEQUENCES OF GOOD FILTRATIONS

The author in [Vi1] built (FC)-sequences of ideals in local rings for calculating mixed multiplicities. In order to study the multiplicity and the Cohen-Macaulayness for fiber cones of good filtrations, this section introduces weak-(FC)-sequences of good filtrations and some important properties of these sequences.

A filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of ideals in A is a chain of ideals I_n such that $A = I_0$, I_1 is a proper ideal of A , $I_{n+1} \subseteq I_n$ and $I_n I_m \subseteq I_{n+m}$ for all $n, m \geq 0$. Let I be an ideal of A . $\mathcal{F}$ is called an I -good filtration if $II_n \subseteq I_{n+1}$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $I_{n+1} = II_n$ for all large n . In this case, $I \subseteq I_1$. $\mathcal{F}$ is called a good filtration if it is an I -good filtration for some ideal I of A . It is easily seen that $\mathcal{F}$ is a good filtration if and only if $\mathcal{F}$ is an I_1 -good filtration. A good filtration $\mathcal{F}$ is called a nilpotent filtration if $I_n = 0$ for all large n . This is equivalent to I_1 nilpotent. Set $\mathcal{F}/I = \{I_n(A/I)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and $F_J(\mathcal{F}/I) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} [(I_n + I)/(JI_n + I)]t^n$ for any ideal I of A .

Definition. Let I be an ideal of A and $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a non-nilpotent good filtration of ideals of A . An element $x \in I_1$ is called a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$ if the following conditions are satisfied:

(FC₁): $I^m I_n \cap (x) = I^m x I_{n-1}$ for all large n and for all non-negative integers m .

(FC₂): x is a filter-regular element with respect to I_1 , i.e., $0 : x \subseteq 0 : I_1^\infty$.

Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$ be a sequence in I_1 . For each $i = 0, 1, \dots, s$, set $A_i = A/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)$; $\bar{x}_{i+1}$ the image of x_{i+1} in A_i ; $\mathcal{F}_i = \mathcal{F}/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)$. Then

- (i) The sequence $x_1, \dots, x_s$ is called a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$ if $\bar{x}_{i+1}$ is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(IA_i, \mathcal{F}_i)$ for each $i = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$.

- (ii) A weak-(FC)-sequence $x_1, \dots, x_s$ in I_1 with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$ is called a maximal weak-(FC)-sequence if $\mathcal{F}_{s-1}$ is a non-nilpotent filtration of A_{s-1} , but $\mathcal{F}_s$ is a nilpotent filtration of A_s .

An ideal $\mathfrak{J}$ of A is called a *reduction* of a good filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ if $\mathcal{F}$ is an $\mathfrak{J}$ -good filtration. The least integer n such that $\mathfrak{J}I_n = I_{n+1}$ is called the *reduction number* of $\mathcal{F}$ with respect to $\mathfrak{J}$ and we denote this integer by $r_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{F})$. A reduction $\mathfrak{J}$ of $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *minimal reduction* if it does not properly contain any other reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. The *reduction number* of $\mathcal{F}$ is defined by

$$r(\mathcal{F}) = \min\{r_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{F}) \mid \mathfrak{J} \text{ is a minimal reduction of } \mathcal{F}\}.$$

Note that in the case of $\mathcal{F}$ an I -adic filtration, $\mathfrak{J}$ is called a *reduction* of I and the notations $r_{\mathfrak{J}}(I)$ and $r(I)$ will mean the reduction number of I with respect to $\mathfrak{J}$ and the reduction number of I , respectively. Northcott and Rees in [N-R] showed that a reduction $\mathfrak{J}$ of I is a minimal reduction if and only if the minimal number of generators of $\mathfrak{J}$ is equal to the analytic spread $\ell(I) = \dim F_{\mathfrak{m}}(I)$ of I . If $\text{ht } I$ is the height of I then $\text{ht } (I) \leq \ell(I)$. In the case of $\text{ht } (I) = \ell(I)$, I is called *equimultiple*. A good filtration $\mathcal{F}$ is called an *equimultiple filtration* if $\text{ht } (I_1) = \ell(\mathcal{F})$.

Define $R(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} I_n t^n$ and $G(\mathcal{F}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} (I_n / I_{n+1}) t^n$ to be the Rees algebra and the associated graded ring of $\mathcal{F}$, respectively. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the maximal homogeneous ideal of $R(\mathcal{F})$.

Now, we briefly give some comments on weak-(FC)-sequences of a good filtration of ideals in A and the fiber cone of good filtrations by the following remark.

Remark 2.1.

(i) If $\mathcal{F}$ is a nilpotent good filtration of ideals of A then $I_1^n \subseteq I_n = 0$ for all large n . Consequently, for any element $x \in I_1$ and for any ideal I of A , we always have $I^m I_n \cap (x) = 0 = I^m x I_{n-1}$ for all large n and $0 : x \subset A = 0 : I_1^\infty$. Hence the conditions (FC₁) and (FC₂) always are satisfied for all $x \in I_1$. This only obstructs and does not carry useful. That is why in definition of weak-(FC)-elements, we have to exclude the case where $\mathcal{F}$ is a nilpotent good filtration of ideals of A .

(ii) Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a good filtration of ideals of A , there exists u such that $I_n = I_1^{n-u} I_u$ for all large n . By Artin-Rees lemma, there exists integer v such that

$$(0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n \subseteq (0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_1^{n-u} = ((0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_1^v) I_1^{n-u-v} \subseteq (0 : I_1^\infty) I_1^{n-u-v}$$

for all $n - u \geq v$. Since $I_1^{n-u-v} (0 : I_1^\infty) = 0$ for all large enough n , $(0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n = 0$ for all large n .

(iii) Suppose that $x \in I_1$ is a filter-regular element with respect to I_1 . Consider

$$\lambda_x : I_n \longrightarrow x I_n, \quad y \mapsto xy.$$

It is clear that λ_x is surjective and $\text{Ker } \lambda_x = (0 : x) \cap I_n$. Since x is a filter-regular element,

$$\text{Ker } \lambda_x = (0 : x) \cap I_n \subseteq (0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n = 0$$

for all large n . Therefore, $xI_n \simeq I_n$. This follows that $xII_n \simeq II_n$ for any ideal I of A and for all large n . Hence for any ideal I of A , we have an isomorphism of A -modules $I_n/II_n \simeq xI_n/xII_n$ for all large n .

(iv) Set $A^* = A/0 : I_1^\infty$; $I^* = IA^*$; $I_n^* = I_n A^*$ for all $n \geq 0$; a^* the image of $a \in A$ in A^* . Suppose that $x \in I_1$ is a filter-regular element with respect to I_1 . Since $0 : x \subseteq 0 : I_1^\infty$, x^* is a non-zero-divisor in A^* . Hence $I_n^*/I^*I_n^* \simeq x^*I_n^*/x^*I^*I_n^*$ for all n .

(v) If $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = 1$ and an element x such that (x) is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}$, then for any ideal I of A and for all large n , we have $I^m I_n \cap (x) = I^m x I_{n-1} \cap (x) = I^m x I_{n-1}$ for all non-negative integers m . On the other hand $0 : x \subseteq 0 : I_1^\infty$. Hence x is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$.

(vi) It is easily seen that if J is an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A , then in $R(\mathcal{F})$ we have $\sqrt{JR(\mathcal{F})} = \mathfrak{m}R(\mathcal{F})$. Hence $\dim F_J(\mathcal{F}) = \dim F_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{F}) = \ell(I_1) = \dim F_J(I_1)$, and if $\mathfrak{J}$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$, then $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is an ideal of parameter for $F_J(\mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

(vii) Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Set $S_j = \bigoplus_{n \geq j} (I_n/JI_n)t^n$. Then S_j has a natural $F_J(I_1)$ -module structure given by $(a + JI_1^m)(x + JI_n) = (ax + JI_{m+n})$ for $a \in I_1^m$, $x \in I_n$. Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a good filtration of ideals of A , it is easily seen that $S_j = \bigoplus_{n \geq j} (I_n/JI_n)t^n = F_J(I_1)(I_j/JI_j)t^j$ for all large j . Hence $l_A(I_n/JI_n)$ is a polynomial $Q(n)$ for all large n , and $\deg Q(n) = \dim F_J(\mathcal{F}) - 1 = \ell(I_1) - 1$.

(viii) Let I be an ideal of A and $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration of ideals of A . Recall that an element $a \in I_1$ is called a *superficial element* with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$ if there exists a non-negative integer c such that $(I^m I_{n+1} : a) \cap I^m I_c = I^m I_n$ for all $n \geq c$ and for all non-negative integers m . The notion of the superficial elements goes back to P. Samuel [Z-S]. The classical theory of the superficial elements becomes an important tool in local algebra and has been continually developed (see for instance [H-S], [K], [R-S]). We now show that if an element $x \in I_1$ is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$ then x is also a superficial element with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$. Indeed, if $x \in I_1$ is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$, then for all large n and all $m \geq 0$, we have

$$I^m I_n : x = (I^m I_n \cap (x)) : x = x I^m I_{n-1} : x = I^m I_{n-1} + (0 : x) \subseteq I^m I_{n-1} + (0 : I_1^\infty).$$

By (ii), there exists a positive integer c such that $(0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_c = 0$. Thus for all large n ($n > c$) and all $m \geq 0$,

$$(I^m I_n : x) \cap I^m I_c \subseteq [I^m I_{n-1} + (0 : I_1^\infty)] \cap I^m I_c = I^m I_{n-1} + (0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I^m I_c = I^m I_{n-1}.$$

The reverse inclusions are trivial. Hence x is a superficial element with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$.

A minor variation in Rees's argument [see the proof of Lemma 1.2, Re] yields the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2 (Generalized Rees's Lemma). *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals of A . Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be a reduction of $\mathcal{F}$, I an ideal of A . Let Σ be a finite collection of prime ideals of A not containing I_1 . Then there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{J} \setminus \bigcup_{P \in \Sigma} P$ such that $(x) \cap I^m I_n = I^m x I_{n-1}$ for all large n and all non-negative integers m .*

Using Lemma 2.2, we will show that the existence of weak-(FC)-sequences in good filtrations is universal by the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let I be an ideal of A , $\mathfrak{J}$ a reduction of $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ is non-nilpotent. Then there exists a weak-(FC)-element in $\mathfrak{J}$ with respect to $(I, \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Set $\Sigma = \text{Ass}_A[A/(0 : I_1^\infty)]$. It is easily seen that $\Sigma = \{P \in \text{Ass} A \mid P \not\supseteq I_1\}$ and Σ is finite. Since I_1 is non-nilpotent, there exists $x \in \mathfrak{J}$ such that $x \notin P$ for all $P \in \Sigma$ and $(x) \cap I^m I_n = I^m x I_{n-1}$ for all large n and all non-negative integers m by Lemma 2.2. Thus, x satisfies condition (FC₁). Since $x \notin P$ for all $P \in \Sigma$, $0 : x \subset 0 : I_1^\infty$. Hence x satisfies condition (FC₂). $\square$

Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the maximal homogeneous ideal of the Rees algebra $R(\mathcal{F})$ of a filtration $\mathcal{F}$. The notation $e(F_J(\mathcal{F}))$ will mean the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of local ring $F_J(\mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{M}}$, and it is called the multiplicity of $F_J(\mathcal{F})$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals in A . Set $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{F})$ and $F_J(\mathcal{F})^+ = \bigoplus_{n > 0} (I_n / JI_n) t^n$. Then we have:*

- (i) *If $\ell > 0$ then $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F})^+; F_J(\mathcal{F})) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(\ell - 1)! [l_A(I_n / JI_n)]}{n^{\ell-1}}$.*
- (ii) *If $\ell > 1$ and $x \in I_1$ is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$ then $e(F_J(\mathcal{F}/(x))) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}))$.*
- (iii) *The length of maximal weak-(FC)-sequences in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$ is ℓ .*
- (iv) *If $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ is a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$ then $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$.*
- (v) *Any minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$ is generated by a maximal weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Since J is an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A , $\mathfrak{m}/J$ is a nilpotent ideal in A/J , and hence $F_J(\mathcal{F})^+$ is a reduction

of $(\mathfrak{m}/J) \oplus F_J(\mathcal{F})^+$. This gives $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e((\mathfrak{m}/J) \oplus F_J(\mathcal{F})^+; F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F})^+; F_J(\mathcal{F}))$. By Remark 2.1 (vii), there exists a positive integer j such that $S_j = \bigoplus_{n \geq j} (I_n/JI_n)t^n = F_J(I_1)(I_j/JI_j)t^j$. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow S_j \rightarrow F_J(\mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{0 \leq n \leq j-1} (I_n/JI_n)t^n \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\dim \bigoplus_{0 \leq n \leq j-1} (I_n/JI_n)t^n = 0 < \ell = \dim F_J(\mathcal{F})$, it follows that $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(S_j)$. Direct computation shows that

$$l_A[S_j/(F_J(\mathcal{F})^+)^{n+1}S_j] - l_A[S_j/(F_J(\mathcal{F})^+)^nS_j] = l_A[(I_{n+j}/JI_{n+j})]$$

for all large enough n . Remember that $l_A[(I_{n+j}/JI_{n+j})]$ is a polynomial of degree $(\ell - 1)$ for all large enough n . Hence we get $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(S_j) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{(\ell - 1)! [l_A(I_n/JI_n)]}{n^{\ell-1}}$. This completes the proof of (i). Let $x \in I_1$ be a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. Set $E_n = I_n(A/xA)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then $\mathcal{F}/(x) = \{E_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ and for all large enough n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} l_A\left(\frac{E_n}{JE_n}\right) &= l_A\left(\frac{I_n + (x)}{JI_n + (x)}\right) = l_A\left(\frac{I_n}{JI_n + (x) \cap I_n}\right) \\ &= l_A\left(\frac{I_n}{JI_n}\right) - l_A\left(\frac{JI_n + (x) \cap I_n}{JI_n}\right) \\ &= l_A\left(\frac{I_n}{JI_n}\right) - l_A\left(\frac{(x) \cap I_n}{JI_n \cap (x)}\right) \\ &= l_A\left(\frac{I_n}{JI_n}\right) - l_A\left(\frac{xI_{n-1}}{xJI_{n-1}}\right) \text{ (because } x \text{ satisfies the condition (FC}_1\text{))} \\ &= l_A\left(\frac{I_n}{JI_n}\right) - l_A\left(\frac{I_{n-1}}{JI_{n-1}}\right) \text{ (by Remark 2.1 (iii)).} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, it holds that

$$l_A\left(\frac{E_n}{JE_n}\right) = l_A\left(\frac{I_n}{JI_n}\right) - l_A\left(\frac{I_{n-1}}{JI_{n-1}}\right) \quad (1)$$

for all large enough n . By (1) we get $e(F_J(\mathcal{F}/(x))) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}))$. Therefore, we have (ii). It follows readily from (1) that

$$\dim F_J(\mathcal{F}/(x)) = \dim F_J(\mathcal{F}) - 1 (**).$$

Next we prove (iii): First note that if $\ell = 0$ then I_1 is a nilpotent ideal of A . In this case, the length of maximal weak-(FC)-sequences in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$ is $0 = \ell$. If $\ell > 0$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s$ is a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$, then using (**), we easily prove by induction on s that

$$\dim F_J(\mathcal{F}/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)) = \dim F_J(\mathcal{F}) - s.$$

This implies that the length of maximal weak-(FC)-sequences in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$ is ℓ . We get (iii).

The proof of (iv): Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ be a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. The proof is

by induction on $i \leq \ell$ that $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) \cap I_{n+1} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)I_n$ for all large n . The case of $i = 0$ is trivial. Denote by x'_i the image of x_i in $A' = A/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$. For suppose the result has been proved for $i - 1 \geq 0$. Set $N = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1})$. Since x'_i is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(JA', \mathcal{F}/N)$, $(x'_i) \cap I_{n+1}A' = x'_i I_n A'$ for all large n . So $[I_{n+1} + N] \cap [(x_i) + N] = x_i I_n + N$. Hence

$$I_{n+1} \cap [I_{n+1} + N] \cap [(x_i) + N] = I_{n+1} \cap (x_i I_n + N).$$

This is equivalent to $I_{n+1} \cap [(x_i) + N] = x_i I_n + N \cap I_{n+1}$. By inductive assumption, $N \cap I_{n+1} = N I_n$ for all large n . Hence $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i) \cap I_{n+1} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i)I_n$ for all large n . The induction is complete. This gives $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell) \cap I_{n+1} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)I_n$ for all large n . Since $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ is a maximal weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$ by (iii), $\mathcal{F}/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ is a nilpotent filtration of $A/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$. Therefore, $I_n \subseteq (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ for all large n . Consequently,

$$I_{n+1} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell) \cap I_{n+1} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)I_n$$

for all large n . Hence $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. The proof of (v): Let $\mathfrak{J}$ be a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Now, note that if $x \in \mathfrak{J}$ is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$, then by (**) we have $\dim F_J(\mathcal{F}/(x)) = \dim F_J(\mathcal{F}) - 1$. Consequently, $\mathfrak{J}(A/x)$ is also a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}/(x)$. Hence by Proposition 2.3 and by induction on $\dim F_J(\mathcal{F})$, we easily give that there exists a maximal weak-(FC)-sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ in $\mathfrak{J}$ with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. By (iv), $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Since $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell) \subset \mathfrak{J}$ and $\mathfrak{J}$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathfrak{J} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$. Proposition has been proved. $\square$

3. THE MULTIPLICITY OF FIBER CONES OF GOOD FILTRATIONS

In this section, we will examine the multiplicity of fiber cones of good filtrations.

Denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the maximal homogeneous ideal of $R(\mathcal{F})$. Recall that the multiplicity of the fiber cone $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity of local ring $F_J(\mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

We begin by the following note.

Note 1: By Proposition 2.4, a reduction of $\mathcal{F}$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$ if and only if it is generated by a weak-(FC)-sequence of the length $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{F})$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ be a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. Then $\mathfrak{J} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. And by the proof of Proposition 2.4, $\dim F_J(\mathcal{F}/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)) = \dim F_J(\mathcal{F}) - s$ for all $s \leq \ell$. This also means $\mathfrak{J}[A/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)]$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s)$.

The following proposition plays an important role in the proofs of this paper.

Proposition 3.1. *Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals in A with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \ell > 0$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ be a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. Set $\mathfrak{I} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ and $I_{-1} = 0$. For any $i < \ell$, set $P(i) = (x_1, \dots, x_i) : I_1^\infty$, $\mathcal{F}(i) = \mathcal{F}/(x_1, \dots, x_i)$, $\mathcal{F}'(i) = \mathcal{F}/P(i)$. Then*

- (i) $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}(i))) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i)))$.
- (ii) $l_A\left[\frac{F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))}{\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))}\right] \leq l_A\left[\frac{F_J(\mathcal{F}(i))}{\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}(i))}\right] \leq l_A\left[\frac{F_J(\mathcal{F})}{\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F})}\right]$.
- (iii) $l_A\left[\frac{F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))}{\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))}\right] = l_A\left[\frac{F_J(\mathcal{F})}{\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F})}\right]$ if and only if $I_n \cap P(i) \subseteq \mathfrak{I}I_{n-1} \pmod{JI_n}$ for all $0 \leq n \leq r_J(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. Set $A^* = A/0 : I_1^\infty$ and $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}/0 : I_1^\infty = \{I_n^* = I_n A^*\}_{n \geq 0}$. By Remark 2.1 (ii), $(0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n = 0$ for all large enough n . This gives $l_A\left(\frac{I_n^*}{JI_n^*}\right) = l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{JI_n + (0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n}\right] = l_A\left(\frac{I_n}{JI_n}\right)$ for all large enough n . Hence

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}^*)). \quad (2)$$

Let $x \in I_1$ be a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. Then on the one hand by Proposition 2.4(ii), $e(F_J(\mathcal{F}/(x))) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}))$. Now, assume that the analytic spread $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{F}) > 1$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_i$ ($i < \ell$) is a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. We easily show by induction on $i < \ell$ that $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}(i)))$. On the other hand by (2), $e(F_J(\mathcal{F}(i))) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i)))$. Hence

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}(i))) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))).$$

Note that this equation is true too in the case of $\ell = 1$ by (2). This establishes (i). Set $r_{\mathfrak{I}}(\mathcal{F}) = r$, $Q(i) = (x_1, \dots, x_i)$, $P(i) = Q(i) : I_1^\infty$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} F_J(\mathcal{F}(i)) &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \left[\frac{I_n}{Q(i) \cap I_n + JI_n} \right] t^n \text{ and } F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i)) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \left[\frac{I_n}{P(i) \cap I_n + JI_n} \right] t^n, \\ \mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}) &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{\mathfrak{I}I_{n-1} + JI_n}{JI_n} \right] t^n \\ \mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}(i)) &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{Q(i) \cap I_n + \mathfrak{I}I_{n-1} + JI_n}{Q(i) \cap I_n + JI_n} \right] t^n \\ \mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(i)) &= \bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \left[\frac{P(i) \cap I_n + \mathfrak{I}I_{n-1} + JI_n}{P(i) \cap I_n + JI_n} \right] t^n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F})/\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F})] &= l_A[A/J] + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(\mathfrak{I}I_{n-1} + JI_n)}\right] \\ l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F}(i))/\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}(i))] &= l_A[A/J + Q(i)] + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(Q(i) \cap I_n + \mathfrak{I}I_{n-1} + JI_n)}\right] \\ l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))/\mathfrak{I}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))] &= l_A[A/J + P(i)] + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(P(i) \cap I_n + \mathfrak{I}I_{n-1} + JI_n)}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that

$$(\mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + JI_n) \subseteq (Q(i) \cap I_n + \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + JI_n) \subseteq (P(i) \cap I_n + \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + JI_n)$$

for all $0 \leq n \leq r$. Hence we immediately get (ii). Moreover,

$$l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F})/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})] = l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(i))]$$

if and only if $(\mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + JI_n) = (P(i) \cap I_n + \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + JI_n)$ for all $0 \leq n \leq r$. This means

$$I_n \cap P(i) \subseteq \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} \pmod{JI_n} \text{ for all } 0 \leq n \leq r.$$

We have (iii). $\square$

Lemma 3.2. *Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals in A with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \ell = 1$ and $x \in I_1$ such that (x) is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Set $r_{(x)}(\mathcal{F}) = r$. Then*

(i) *If $\text{grade } I_1 = 1$ then $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A(I_n/JI_n)$ for all $n \geq r$.*

(ii) *$e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(0:I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n}\right]$ for all $n \geq r$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.1 (v), x is a weak-(FC)-element in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. Since $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = 1$, $l_A(I_n/JI_n)$ takes a constant value for all large enough n . This gives $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A(I_n/JI_n)$ for all large enough n . Remember that $r_{(x)}(\mathcal{F}) = r$. Hence $I_n = I_r x^{n-r}$ for all $n > r$. Now, if $\text{grade } I_1 > 0$ then x^{n-r} is non-zero-divisor in A . This implies the following isomorphism of A -modules

$$I_r/JI_r \simeq x^{n-r}I_r/x^{n-r}JI_r = I_n/JI_n$$

for all $n \geq r$. We get (i). Set $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}/0 : I_1^\infty = \{I_n^* = I_n[A/0 : I_1^\infty]\}_{n \geq 0}$. Recall that by Proposition 3.1, $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}^*))$. Since $(0 : I_1^\infty) : I_1 = 0 : I_1^\infty$, it follows that $\text{grade } I_1^* > 0$. On the other hand we always have $\text{grade } I_1^* \leq \ell(I_1^*) \leq \ell(I_1) = 1$. Consequently, $\text{grade } I_1^* = \ell(I_1^*) = 1$. Since $(x^*) = x[A/(0 : I_1^\infty)]$ is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}^*$ and $r_{(x^*)}(\mathcal{F}^*) \leq r_{(x)}(\mathcal{F}) = r$, by (i) we get

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F}^*)) = l_A(I_n^*/JI_n^*) = l_A\left[\frac{I_n + (0 : I_1^\infty)}{(0 : I_1^\infty) + JI_n}\right] = l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n}\right]$$

for all $n \geq r$. Thus,

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(0 : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n}\right]$$

for all $n \geq r$. $\square$

By combining Proposition 3.1 with Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following theorem. That is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.3. *Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals in A with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \ell > 0$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ be a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. Set*

$$\mathfrak{J} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell), \quad r_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{F}) = r, \quad Q = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell-1}).$$

Then $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(Q:I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n}\right]$ for all $n \geq r$.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{F}(\ell-1) = \mathcal{F}/Q = \{I(\ell-1)_n = I_n(A/Q)\}_{n \geq 0}$. By Proposition 3.1, we get

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}(\ell-1))).$$

By Note 1, $\mathfrak{J}$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\dim F_J(\mathcal{F}(\ell-1)) = 1$, and $(\bar{x}_\ell) = x_\ell(A/Q) = \mathfrak{J}(A/Q)$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}(\ell-1)$. Since $r_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{F}) = r$, it follows that $r_{(\bar{x}_\ell)}(\mathcal{F}(\ell-1)) \leq r$. Hence by Lemma 3.2(ii),

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F}(\ell-1))) = l_A\left[\frac{I(\ell-1)_n}{(0:I(\ell-1)_1^\infty) \cap I(\ell-1)_n + JI(\ell-1)_n}\right] \text{ for all } n \geq r.$$

Note that we always have the following isomorphism of A -modules

$$\frac{I(\ell-1)_n}{(0:I(\ell-1)_1^\infty) \cap I(\ell-1)_n + JI(\ell-1)_n} \simeq \frac{I_n + Q}{(Q:I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n + Q} \simeq \frac{I_n}{(Q:I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n}.$$

This gives

$$l_A\left[\frac{I(\ell-1)_n}{(0:I(\ell-1)_1^\infty) \cap I(\ell-1)_n + JI(\ell-1)_n}\right] = l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(Q:I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n}\right].$$

Hence

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A\left[\frac{I_n}{(Q:I_1^\infty) \cap I_n + JI_n}\right] \text{ for all } n \geq r.$$

Theorem 3.3 has been proved. $\square$

4. THE COHEN-MACAULAYNESS OF FIBER CONES OF GOOD FILTRATIONS

In this section, we answer the question when the fiber cones of good filtrations of ideals in A are Cohen-Macaulay.

Denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the maximal homogeneous ideal of $R(\mathcal{F})$. Then $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $F_J(\mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay [H-R].

We begin by establishing the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals in A with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \text{grade } I_1 = 1$ and $x \in I_1$ such that (x) is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Set $r_{(x)}(\mathcal{F}) = r$. Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Then $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $xI_{n-1} \cap JI_n = JxI_{n-1}$ for all $1 \leq n \leq r$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F_J(\mathcal{F}) = F_J(\mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Recall that by Remark 2.1 (v), x is a weak-(FC)-element in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. On the one hand by Proposition 2.4(i) and (x) is a reduction of $\mathcal{F}$,

$$e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F})^+; F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(xtF_J(\mathcal{F}); F_J(\mathcal{F})).$$

On the other hand by Lemma 3.2(i), $e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A(I_r/JI_r)$. Hence

$$l_A(I_r/JI_r) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(xtF_J(\mathcal{F}); F_J(\mathcal{F})).$$

Since grade $I_1 > 0$, x is non-zero-divisor in A . Hence $xI_s/xJI_s \simeq I_s/JI_s$ for all $s \geq 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F})/xtF_J(\mathcal{F})] &= l_A(A/J) + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} l_A[I_n/xI_{n-1} + JI_n] \\ &= l_A(A/J) + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} (l_A[I_n/JI_n] - l_A[(xI_{n-1} + JI_n)/JI_n]) \\ &= l_A(A/J) + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} (l_A[I_n/JI_n] - l_A[xI_{n-1}/xI_{n-1} \cap JI_n]) \\ &\geq l_A(A/J) + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} (l_A[I_n/JI_n] - l_A[xI_{n-1}/xJI_{n-1}]) \\ &= l_A(A/J) + \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} (l_A[I_n/JI_n] - l_A[I_{n-1}/JI_{n-1}]) \text{ (by } x \text{ is non-zero-divisor in } A) \\ &= l_A[I_r/JI_r] = e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(xtF_J(\mathcal{F}); F_J(\mathcal{F})). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that $xtF_J(\mathcal{F})$ is an ideal of parameter for $F_J(\mathcal{F})$. Consequently, $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F})/xtF_J(\mathcal{F})] = e(xtF_J(\mathcal{F}); F_J(\mathcal{F}))$. This is equivalent to

$$\sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} (l_A[I_n/JI_n] - l_A[xI_{n-1}/(xI_{n-1} \cap JI_n)]) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq r} (l_A[I_n/JI_n] - l_A[xI_{n-1}/xJI_{n-1}]).$$

Hence $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $xI_{n-1} \cap JI_n = JxI_{n-1}$ for all $1 \leq n \leq r$. $\square$

Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A and $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration of ideals in A with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \ell > 0$. Remember that if $\ell = \ell(\mathcal{F})$ and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ is a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$, and set $\mathfrak{J} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$, then $\mathfrak{J}$ a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$ by Note 1. Since $\dim F_J(\mathcal{F}) = \ell$ and $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})$ is an $(\mathfrak{m}/J) \oplus F_J(\mathcal{F})^+$ -primary ideal of $F_J(\mathcal{F})$, $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})$ is an ideal of parameter for $F_J(\mathcal{F})$.

The main result of this section is established in the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2. *Let J be an $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of A . Let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a good filtration of ideals in A with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = \ell > 0$. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell$ be a weak-(FC)-sequence in I_1 with respect to $(J, \mathcal{F})$. Set*

$$\mathfrak{J} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell), \quad r_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{F}) = r, \quad Q = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\ell-1}), \quad I_{-1} = 0.$$

Then $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $(Q : I_1^\infty) \cap I_n \subseteq \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} \pmod{JI_n}$ for all $0 \leq n \leq r$.
- (ii) $[\mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + (Q : I_1^\infty)] \cap JI_n = J\mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} \pmod{Q : I_1^\infty}$ for all $1 \leq n \leq r$.

Proof. Denote by $\mathfrak{M}$ the maximal homogeneous ideal of $R(\mathcal{F})$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $F_J(\mathcal{F}) = F_J(\mathcal{F})_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Set $\mathcal{F}(\ell-1) = \mathcal{F}/Q = \{I(\ell-1)_n = I_n(A/Q)\}_{n \geq 0}$ and

$$\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1) = \mathcal{F}/Q : I_1^\infty = \{I'(\ell-1)_n = I_n(A/Q : I_1^\infty)\}_{n \geq 0}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})$ is a reduction of $F_J(\mathcal{F})^+$, $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$ is a reduction of $F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))^+$. Hence by Proposition 2.4(i) and Proposition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e(\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}); F_J(\mathcal{F})) &= e(F_J(\mathcal{F})) = e(F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))) = e(\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1)); F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))) \text{ and} \\ l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))] &\leq l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F})/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})]. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Since $(Q : I_1^\infty) : I_1 = Q : I_1^\infty$, it follows that $\text{grade } I'(\ell-1)_1 > 0$. By Note 1, $\ell(I(\ell-1)_1) = 1$. Hence $\ell(I'(\ell-1)_1) \leq \ell(I(\ell-1)_1) = 1$. On the other hand, $\text{grade } I'(\ell-1)_1 \leq \ell(I'(\ell-1)_1)$ is always true. Consequently, $\text{grade } I'(\ell-1)_1 = \ell(I'(\ell-1)_1) = 1$. Since $x_\ell tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1)) = \mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$ is a reduction of $F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))^+$ and $\ell(I'(\ell-1)_1) = 1$, it follows that $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$ is an ideal of parameter for $F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$. This gives

$$e(\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1)); F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))) \leq l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))]. \quad (4)$$

Since $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})$ is an ideal of parameter for $F_J(\mathcal{F})$, $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if

$$e(\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}); F_J(\mathcal{F})) = l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F})/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})]. \quad (5)$$

By (3) and (4), (5) is equivalent to the following two equations:

$$e(\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1)); F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))) = l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))] \quad (6)$$

and

$$l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))] = l_A[F_J(\mathcal{F})/\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F})]. \quad (7)$$

Recall that $\mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$ is an ideal of parameter for $F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$. Hence (6) is equivalent to $F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$ is Cohen-Macaulay. On the one hand,

$$\text{grade } I'(\ell-1)_1 = \ell(I'(\ell-1)_1) = 1 \text{ and } x_\ell tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1)) = \mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$$

is a reduction of $F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))^+$. On the other hand, $r_{x_\ell(A/Q:I_1^\infty)}(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1)) \leq r_{\mathfrak{J}}(\mathcal{F}) = r$. Hence by Lemma 4.1, $F_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if

$$x_\ell I'(\ell-1)_{n-1} \bigcap JI'(\ell-1)_n = x_\ell JI'(\ell-1)_{n-1} \text{ for all } 1 \leq n \leq r. \quad (8)$$

Since $x_\ell tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1)) = \mathfrak{J}tF_J(\mathcal{F}'(\ell-1))$, (8) means

$$[\mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + (Q : I_1^\infty)] \bigcap [(Q : I_1^\infty) + JI_n] = \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + (Q : I_1^\infty) \text{ for all } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

It can be verified that this condition also means

$$[\mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + (Q : I_1^\infty)] \bigcap JI_n = \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} \pmod{Q : I_1^\infty} \text{ for all } 1 \leq n \leq r.$$

By Proposition 3.1(iii), (7) is equivalent to

$$I_n \bigcap (Q : I_1^\infty) \subseteq \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} \pmod{JI_n} \text{ for all } 0 \leq n \leq r.$$

Hence $F_J(\mathcal{F})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} (Q : I_1^\infty) \bigcap I_n &\subseteq \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} \pmod{JI_n} \text{ for all } 0 \leq n \leq r. \\ [\mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} + (Q : I_1^\infty)] \bigcap JI_n &= \mathfrak{J}I_{n-1} \pmod{Q : I_1^\infty} \text{ for all } 1 \leq n \leq r. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.2. $\square$

From Theorem 4.2, we give the following interesting consequence.

Corollary 4.3. *Let $(A, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$, $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ a good filtration of ideals in A with $\ell(\mathcal{F}) = 1$. Set $\mathcal{F}^{(T)} = \{I_{Tn}\}_{n \geq 0}$. Then $F_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{F}^{(T)})$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all large T .*

Proof. Set $A^* = A/0 : I_1^\infty$, $\mathfrak{m}^* = \mathfrak{m}A^*$, $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}/0 : I_1^\infty = \{I_n^* = I_n A^*\}_{n \geq 0}$. Let x be an element in I_1 such that (x) is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$. Then (x^*) (x^* the image of x in A^*) is also a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}^*$. Hence by Remark 2.1(v), x and x^* are weak-(FC)-elements with respect to $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F})$ and $(\mathfrak{m}^*, \mathcal{F}^*)$, respectively. Since x^* satisfies the condition (FC_1) , there exists a positive integer u such that $(x^*) \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_n^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^* I_{n-1}^*$ for all $n \geq u$ and all non-negative integers m . By Remark 2.1 (ii), there exists a positive integer v such that $(0 : I_1^\infty) \bigcap I_n = 0$ for all $n \geq v$. Set $N = \max\{u, v\}$. For the proof of this corollary we need the following.

Note 2: Let C and D be subsets of A^* . If $y \in x^*C \bigcap x^*D$ then $y = x^*a = x^*b$ with $a \in C; b \in D$. Hence $x^*(a-b) = 0$. This means $(a-b) \in (0 : x^*)$. Since x satisfies the condition (FC_2) , x^* is a non-zero-divisor in A^* . Consequently, $(a-b) \in (0 : x^*) = 0$. Hence $a = b \in C \bigcap D$. This implies that $y \in x^*(C \bigcap D)$. Thus, $x^*C \bigcap x^*D = x^*(C \bigcap D)$.

Now we choose $T \geq N$. Using induction on $h \geq 1$, we will prove that

$$x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+h}^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \quad (9)$$

for all $n \geq 2$ and for all non-negative integers m . Since $n \geq 2$, $T(n-1) \geq T \geq N$. Therefore,

$$x^* I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+1}^* \subseteq (x^*) \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+1}^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^* I_{T(n-1)}^*.$$

Hence

$$x^* I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+1}^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^* I_{T(n-1)}^*. \quad (10)$$

Thus, (9) is true for $h = 1$. Suppose that (9) was true for $h - 1 \geq 1$. Since obvious facts

$$x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \subset x^* I_{T(n-1)+h-1}^* \subset I_{T(n-1)+h}^* \quad \text{and} \quad T(n-1) + h - 1 > N,$$

$$\begin{aligned} x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+h}^* &= x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap [x^* I_{T(n-1)+h-1}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+h}^*] \\ &= x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^* I_{T(n-1)+h-1}^* \quad (\text{by (10)}) \\ &= x^* [x^{*h-1} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+h-1}^*] \quad (\text{by Note 2}). \end{aligned}$$

By (9) is true for $h - 1$,

$$x^{*h-1} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+h-1}^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^{*h-1} I_{T(n-1)}^*.$$

Hence $x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+h}^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^*$. Consequently, the induction is complete. So

$$x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{T(n-1)+h}^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^{*h} I_{T(n-1)}^*$$

for all $n \geq 2$ and for all non-negative integers m . This gives that for any $T \geq N$,

$$x^{*T} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^{*m} I_{Tn}^* = \mathfrak{m}^{*m} x^{*T} I_{T(n-1)}^*$$

for all $n \geq 2$ and for all non-negative integers m . Hence $x^{*T} I_{T(n-1)}^* \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^* I_{Tn}^* = \mathfrak{m}^* x^{*T} I_{T(n-1)}^*$ (***) for all $n \geq 2$. Note that (x^*) is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}^*$, (x^{*T}) is a minimal reduction of $\{I_{Tn}^*\}_{n \geq 0}$. Now, we need to show that $(x^{*T}) \bigcap \mathfrak{m}^* I_T^* = \mathfrak{m}^* x^{*T}$ by using the following note.

Note 3: Let $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$ be a minimal reduction of a good filtration $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \geq 0}$. Set $\mathcal{J} = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell)$. Since $\mathcal{J}$ is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}$, there exist elements $y_1, \dots, y_s \in I_1$ such that $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell, y_1, \dots, y_s \in I_1$ is a minimal base of I_1 . Now assume that $x = a_1 x_1 + \dots + a_\ell x_\ell \in \mathcal{J} \bigcap \mathfrak{m} I_1$,

$$x = b_1 x_1 + \dots + b_\ell x_\ell + c_1 y_1 + \dots + c_s y_s$$

where $b_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $c_j \in \mathfrak{m}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then we have

$$(a_1 - b_1)x_1 + \cdots + (a_\ell - b_\ell)x_\ell + c_1y_1 + \cdots + c_sy_s = 0.$$

Since $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_\ell, y_1, \dots, y_s$ is a minimal base of I_1 , $a_i - b_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Hence $a_i \in \mathfrak{m}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \ell$. Thus, $x \in \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{J}$. This follows that $\mathfrak{J} \cap \mathfrak{m}I_1 = \mathfrak{m}\mathfrak{J}$.

On the one hand by Note 3, $(x^{*T}) \cap \mathfrak{m}^*I_T^* = \mathfrak{m}^*x^{*T}$. Hence $x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^* \cap \mathfrak{m}^*I_{Tn}^* = \mathfrak{m}^*x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^*$ is true for $n = 1$. On the other hand by (**), $x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^* \cap \mathfrak{m}^*I_{Tn}^* = \mathfrak{m}^*x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^*$ for all $n \geq 2$. Consequently,

$$x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^* \cap \mathfrak{m}^*I_{Tn}^* = \mathfrak{m}^*x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^* \text{ for all } n \geq 1. \quad (11)$$

Since x^T is a minimal reduction of $\mathcal{F}^{(T)}$, x^T is a weak-(FC)-element with respect to $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathcal{F}^{(T)})$ by Remark 2.1 (v). Hence by Theorem 4.2, $F_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{F}^{(T)})$ is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if $\mathcal{F}^{(T)}$ satisfies the following conditions

- (a) $(0 : I_T^\infty) \cap I_{Tn} \subseteq x^T I_{T(n-1)} \pmod{\mathfrak{m}I_{Tn}}$ for all $0 \leq n \leq r$, where r is the reduction number of $\mathcal{F}^{(T)}$.
- (b) $[x^T I_{T(n-1)} + (0 : I_T^\infty)] \cap \mathfrak{m}I_{Tn} = \mathfrak{m}x^T I_{T(n-1)} \pmod{0 : I_T^\infty}$ for all $1 \leq n \leq r$. Since $Tn \geq N$ for all $n \geq 1$ and $0 : I_T^\infty = 0 : I_1^\infty$, $(0 : I_T^\infty) \cap I_{Tn} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. Remember that in the statement of Theorem 4.2, we assigned $I_{T-1} = 0$. This means $I_{T(-1)} = 0$. Hence conditions (a) and (b) are equivalent to

$$(a') : (0 : I_T^\infty) \cap A = 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{m}} \text{ and } (b') : x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^* \cap \mathfrak{m}^*I_{Tn}^* = \mathfrak{m}^*x^{*T}I_{T(n-1)}^* \text{ for all } 1 \leq n \leq r,$$

respectively. But (a') is obvious and (b') is satisfied by (11). Thus, $F_{\mathfrak{m}}(\mathcal{F}^{(T)})$ is Cohen-Macaulay for all large T , as required. $\square$

Remark 4.4. Note that in the case where $\mathcal{F} = \{I^n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is an I -adic filtration. Set $A^* = \frac{A}{0 : I^\infty}$, $\mathfrak{m}^* = \mathfrak{m}A^*$, $I^* = IA^*$, and x^* the image of $x \in I$ in A^* . Recall that the condition (FC₁) of [Definition in Sect. 2, Vi2] is $(x^*) \cap \mathfrak{m}^{*m}I^{*n} = x^*\mathfrak{m}^{*m}I^{*n-1}$ for all large n and for all non-negative integers m . So the condition (FC₁) in this paper is a weaker condition than the condition (FC₁) in [Vi2]. Hence as immediate consequences of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 4.2, we obtained more favorite results than the results in [Vi2].

REFERENCES

- [C] T. Cortadellas, *Fiber cones with almost maximal depth*, Comm. Algebra 33 (2005), no. 3, 953963.
- [C-G-P-U] A. Corso, L. Ghezzi, C. Polini, B. Ulrich, *Cohen-Macaulayness of special fiber rings*, Comm. Algebra 31(2003), 3713-3734.
- [C-P-V] A. Corso, C. Polini, W. V. Vasconcelos, *Multiplicity of the special fiber ring of blowups*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 140(2006), 207-219.
- [C-Z] T. Cortadellas, S. Zarzuela, *On the depth of the fiber cone of filtrations*, J. Algebra 198(1997), 428-445.

- [Co-Z] T. Cortadellas, S. Zarzuela, *On the structure of the fiber cone of ideals with analytic spread one*, arXiv: math.AC/0603042 v1 2 Mar 2006.
- [D-G-H] M. D'Anna, A. Guerrieri, W. Heinzer, *Ideals having one-dimensional fiber cone*, Lecture Notes in Pure Appl. Math. 220(2001), 155-170.
- [D-R-V] C. D'Cruz, K.N. Raghavan, J.K. Verma, *Cohen-Macaulay fiber cones*, Commutative algebra, algebraic geometry and computational methods (Hanoi, 1996), 233-246, Springer, Singapore, 1999.
- [G-S] S. Goto, Y. Shimoda, *On the Rees algebras of Cohen-Macaulay local rings*, Lect. Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. 68(1979), Dekker, 201-231.
- [H-H] R. Hübl, C. Huneke, *Fiber cones and the integral closure of ideals*, Collect. Math. 52(2001), 85-100.
- [H-R] M. Hochster, M. Ratliff, *Five theorems on Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Pac. J. Math. 44(1973), 147-173.
- [H-Sa] C. Huneke, J. D. Sally, *Birational extensions in dimension two and integrally closed ideals*, J. Algebra 115(1988), 481-500.
- [H-S] C. Huneke, I. Swanson, *Integral Closure of Ideals, Rings, and Modules*, London Mathematical Lecture Note Series 336, Cambridge University Press (2006)
- [K] D. Kirby, *A note on superficial elements of an ideal in a local ring*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 14 (1963), 21-28.
- [J-V-S] A.V. Jayanthan, J.K. Verma, B. Singh, *Hilbert coefficients and depth of form rings*, Comm. Algebra 32(2004), 1445-1452.
- [J-V1] A.V. Jayanthan, J.K. Verma, *Hilbert coefficients and depth of fiber cones*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 201(2005), 97-115.
- [J-V2] A.V. Jayanthan, J.K. Verma, *Fiber cones of ideals with almost minimal multiplicity*, Nagoya Math. J. 177(2005), 155-179.
- [J-P-V] A.V. Jayanthan, T.J. Puthenpurakal, J.K. Verma, *On fiber cones of $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideals*, Canad. J. Math. 59(2007), 109-120.
- [N-R] D. G. Northcott and D. Rees, *Reduction of ideals in local rings*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 50 (1954), 145-158.
- [Re] D. Rees, *Generalizations of reductions and mixed multiplicities*, J. London Math. Soc. 29(1984), 397-414.
- [R-V] M. E. Rossi, G. Valla, *Hilbert Function of Filtered Modules*, (2008) arXiv:0710.2346
- [Sa] J. D. Sally, *On the associated graded ring of a local Cohen-Macaulay ring*, J. Math. Kyoto 17(1977), 19-21.
- [Sh1] K. Shah, *On the Cohen-Macaulayness of the fiber cone of an ideal*, J. Algebra 143(1991), 156-172.
- [Sh2] K. Shah, *On equimultiple ideals*, Math. Z. 215(1994), 13-24.
- [Vi1] D. Q. Viet, *Mixed multiplicities of arbitrary ideals in local rings*, Comm. Algebra 28(8)(2000), 3803-3821.
- [Vi2] D. Q. Viet, *On the Cohen-Macaulayness of fiber cones*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (2008), 4185-4195.
- [Z-S] O. Zariski, P. Samuel, *Commutative Algebra, Vol II*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.